UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,675	07/20/2005	Martin Geier	23135	1884
535 K.F. ROSS P.C	7590 09/04/200	9	EXAMINER	
5683 RIVERDALE AVENUE			FISHER, ABIGAIL L	
SUITE 203 BOX 900 BRONX, NY 10471-0900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/517,675	GEIER ET AL.	
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ABIGAIL FISHER	1616	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_	
(1) <u>ABIGAIL FISHER</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Jonathan Myers</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 1 September 2009	Time: <u>9:30 am</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 103			
Claims discussed: 32-37			
Prior art documents discussed: Bosch			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
 Part III. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substa interview, since the interv	nce
/Mina Haghighatian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate	e)

Application No.

The examiner called Mr. Myers to indicate that in order to overcome the rejection of record a declaration showing a side-by-side comparison of the Bosch combination of lactic acid, ammonia and C5 to that of the instant combination of lactic acid, ammonia and C6 is necessary. Mr. Myers and the examiner discussed what data would need to be presented in order to overcome the rejection of record. Mr. Myers indicated that he would contact applicants and discuss the matter with them.